Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/825,267	BLATCHLEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas H. Stevens	2123
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: 1 st Action Non-Final
(1) <u>Thomas H. Stevens</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jessica Costa</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 14 August 2006	Time: afternoon	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: all claims		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
1 -11		
(Applie	cant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)
	·	

1.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Office informed applicants of the mishandling of the case, which has sat dormant for two years, due to the Patent Corp's transition from paper case files to IFW. Per discussion, applicants want the prosecution to continue. Although the Office recognized the claims as allowable subject matter, they're still issues outstanding. The case has been reviewed at which time the Office will resend the office action forthwith.